#### Introduction

Algorithms Thomas Schwarz, SJ

# Modeling Algorithms

- Algorithms can be implemented, but are not equal to an implementation
- Performance is always concrete
	- We can only measure what is there
		- <sup>A</sup>**given** implementation of an algorithm
		- On a **given** platform
		- Under **given circumstances**

# Modeling Algorithms

- Goal of algorithm design is not to invent well performing algorithms
	- Such a thing does not exist
- But to develop algorithms that work well under a large variety of circumstances

- Classic Model
	- RAM Model
		- A machine consists of a CPU and RAM
			- CPU has a large number of registers
		- Unit costs for:
			- Moving data between RAM and CPU
			- Calculating between registers

- RAM Model is not accurate
	- Operations do not cost the same
		- Moving data from RAM to Cache (cache miss) can take 200 nsec
		- Simple operations take 20 nsec

- Operations are not sequential:
	- Intel 486DX: 0.336 instructions per clock cycle at 33 MHz = 11.1 Million Instructions per Second (MIPS)
	- AMD Ryzen 7 1800X: 84.6 instructions per clock cycle at  $3.6$  GHz =  $304,510$  MIPS
- Now: many instructions run in parallel and execution overlaps

- Data and instructions are cached in several cache levels
	- Caches belong exclusively to a chip
	- Core has own L1 / L2 caches
	- Up till now:
		- Caches are coherent through invalidation
			- If one thread changes a cache content, other threads will not see the old content
			- Cache lines are invalidated and a read results in a cache miss

- <sup>E</sup>ffectiveness of caches depends on the instructions and data
- Modern algorithm design:
	- Find cache aware / cache oblivious algorithms
		- Cache aware: Algorithm optimized depending on cache parameters
		- Cache oblivious: Algorithm does not need cache parameters in order to make efficient use of caches

- Threading
	- Many tasks can be performed in parallel
		- Processes can be broken into threads
		- Algorithms need to be thread-safe
			- Correct even when execution is split over several threads
	- Usual tool is locking
		- But locking can be detrimental to performance
	- Modern algorithms can be lock-free **and** threadsafe

- Branch prediction and speculative execution
	- Because cache misses are long
		- Processor will executes statements after a conditional statement
			- At the danger of these statements not being usable

#### Branch Prediction

#### **Code Branch Prediction**







Block B

Execute B if X is predicted to be false

#### **Speculative Execution**



Create two streams executing A and B in parallel, knowing that one stream's result are thrown out

- Too many if statements and branch prediction and speculative execution become ineffective
- Good algorithms can be designed that minimize branches

- Large Data Sets
	- RAM is limited and expensive
		- This might change soon with Phase Change Memories as RAM substitutes
	- Some data does not fit into RAM
		- Performance becomes dominated by moving data from storage into RAM and back
	- Modern algorithms can be designed to work well with certain storage systems

- Distributed Computing
	- Many tasks are to massive to work on a single machine
	- Distribute computation over many nodes
	- Performance can now be dominated by the costs of moving data between machines and / or coordinating between them
	- **• Distributed Algorithms**

- Parallel Computation
	- GPU have millions of simple processing elements
	- Modern CUDA algorithms will make use of parallelization
		- Successors to earlier parallel algorithms

- Despite it all:
	- RAM model has allowed us to develop a set of efficient algorithms
		- To which we still add
	- However: Software engineers and algorithm designers need to be aware of architecture

- Calculating timings
	- Can depend on data
		- Example: Sorting algorithm can run much faster on almost sorted data (or much worse)
	- Can calculate maximum time (pessimistic)
	- Can calculate expected time
		- Needs to make assumption on probabilities
	- Can calculate minimum time (optimistic)
		- Usually a useless measure

- Probabilistic algorithms
	- Algorithms can make decisions based on probabilities
		- Useful in case there is an "**adversary**" who gets to select data
	- Example:
		- Cryptography:
			- Can always break cryptography by guessing keys
			- But the probability of breaking cryptography with reasonable high probability in a limited amount of time should be very small

## Review of Landau Notation

## Algorithm Evaluation

- Program solve **instances** of a problem
	- Good algorithms scale well as instances become large
- Clients are only interested how fast a given instance of a given size is solved
- Algorithm designers are interested in designing algorithms that work well independent of the size of the instance

## Algorithm Evaluation

- Evaluate performance by giving maximum or expected run time of a program on an instance size *n*
	- Gives a function  $\phi(n)$
	- Interested in asymptotic behavior

## Algorithm Evaluation

• Example: Compare  $n^2$ ,  $0.1n^3$ ,  $0.01 \cdot 2^n$  for  $n = 0,100,200,...,1000$ 



## Asymptotic Growth

- To compare the growth use Landau's notation
	- Informally
		- Big O:  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  means f grows slower or equally fast than *g*
		- Little O:  $f(n) = o(g(n))$  means f grows slower or than *g*
		- **Theta:**  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  means f and g grow equally fast
		- **Omega:**  $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$  means f grows faster than g

- Exact definitions
	- Little o:

$$
f(n) = o(g(n)) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0
$$

- Exact definitions
	- Big O:

*f*(*n*) = *O*(*g*(*n*)) ⇔ ∃*c* > 0 ∃*n*<sub>0</sub> > 0 ∀*n* ∈ ℕ, *n* > *n*<sub>0</sub> : |*f*(*n*)| ≤ *cg*(*n*)

- Exact definitions
	- Θ:

*f*(*n*) =  $O(g(n))$  ⇔ ∃ $c_0 > 0$  ∃ $c_1 > 0$  ∃ $n_0 > 0$  ∀*n* ∈ ℕ, *n* >  $n_0$  :  $c_0g(n) < f(n) \le c_1g(n)$ 

- Exact definitions
	- Ω:

 $f(n) = \Omega(g(n)) \Leftrightarrow \exists c_1 > 0 \ \exists n_0 > 0 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > n_0 : |f(n)| \ge c_1 g(n)$ 

- In general, we only look at positive functions
- For analytic functions (complex differentiable), there are easier ways to determine the relationship between functions

## Example

• Use the definition to show that  $2n^2 + 4n + 5 = O(n^2)$  for  $n \to \infty$ 

## Example

- $2n^2 + 4n + 5 \le 2n^2 + 4n^2 + 5n^2$  if  $n \ge 1$
- $2n^2 + 4n + 5 \le 11n^2$  if  $n \ge 1$
- Pick  $c_0 = 12$  and  $n_0 = 1$  and find that
	- $\forall n > n_0 2n^2 + 4n + 5 < 12 \cdot n^2$
- Therefore  $2n^2 + 4n + 5 = O(n^2)$  for  $n \to \infty$

• Notice that we did not care about the exact constants

- Assume from now on that all functions  $f$  are positive
	- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) > 0$
- We also assume that the functions are analytic
	- Differentiable as complex functions (almost everywhere)
	- This includes all major functions used in engineering
	- Implies that they are infinitely often differentiable (almost everywhere)

$$
\text{Assume } \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = a > 0
$$

- (this means that we also assume that the limit exists)
- Then:  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  for  $n \to \infty$

• Proof:

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = a > 0
$$

$$
\bullet \Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall n > 1/\delta : \left| \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} - a \right| < \epsilon
$$

• Definition of the limit

$$
\bullet \Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0 \; \exists \delta > 0 \forall n > 1/\delta \; : \; a - \epsilon < \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} < a + \epsilon
$$

- Now we select one particular  $\epsilon > 0$ , namely  $\epsilon = a/2$ .
- For this selection, we have

• 
$$
\exists \delta > 0 \forall n > 1/\delta : a/2 < \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} < (3/2)a
$$

• We also set  $n_0 = \lceil 1/\delta \rceil$ 

• 
$$
\forall n > n_0
$$
 :  $a/2 < \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} < (3/2)a$ 

• Now we have

• 
$$
\forall n > n_0 : \frac{a}{2}g(n) < f(n) < \frac{3a}{2}g(n)
$$

• Thus by definition:  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ 

•  $f(n) = o(g(n))$  implies  $f(n) = O(g(n))$ 

Proof:

$$
f(n) = o(g(n)) \text{ implies}
$$

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0,
$$

which implies  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \ \forall n > 0$ 1 *δ* : *f*(*n*) *g*(*n*)  $\left\langle \epsilon \right\rangle$ 

We select  $\epsilon=1$ , which implies

$$
\exists \delta > 0 \,\forall n > \frac{1}{\delta} : \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} < 1
$$
\nWe select 

\n
$$
n_0 = \lceil \frac{1}{\delta} \rceil
$$
\n and obtain

\n
$$
\forall n > n_0 : \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} < 1
$$

which implies

$$
\forall n > n_0 : f(n) < g(n), \text{ i.e.}
$$
\n
$$
f(n) = O(g(n))
$$

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \infty \text{ implies } f(n) = \Omega(g(n))
$$

• Proof is homework

## Examples

- Relationship between  $log(n)$  and  $n$ ?
- Evaluate the asymptotic behavior of  $\frac{c}{n}$ . log *n n*

• The limit is of type  $\frac{1}{\infty}$ , so we use the theorem of L'Hôpital ∞ ∞

• Take the derivatives of denominator and numerator

\n- Obtain 
$$
\frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n}
$$
.
\n- Because  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log n}{n} = 0$  and  $\log(n) = o(n)$ .
\n

#### Examples

• Relationship between  $2^n$  and  $3^n$ ?

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2^n}{3^n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n = 0
$$

• Therefore  $2^n = o(3^n)$ .