Order Statistics

Selection Problem

- Given *n* elements
 - Find the *i*th smallest element

- Determine the minimum of *n* elements
 - At least *n*-1 comparisons are needed

- Determine the minimum of *n* elements
 - At least *n*-1 comparisons are needed
 - Proof: Arrange the results of the comparisons as a tournament tree with nodes being elements



- At least *n*-1 comparisons are needed
- Proof: Arrange the results of the comparisons as a tournament graph with nodes being elements
- Tournament graph needs to have a single connected component in order to have a winner



- At least *n*-1 comparisons are needed
- Proof: Arrange the results of the comparisons as a tournament graph with nodes being elements
- Tournament graph needs to have a single connected component in order to have a winner
- Component with x elements has to have at least x-1 edges
 - Proof by induction

| \square |
|-----------|
| |
| |
| |

• This algorithm has *n*-1 comparisons

```
def min(array):
current_best = array[0]
for i in range(1, len(array)):
    if array[i] < current_best:
        current_best = array[i]
    return current best
```

- Determine minimum and maximum of *n* elements independently:
 - 2(*n*-1) comparisons

- Better method?
 - Divide elements into four sets:
 - A: could be either
 - B: could be minimum but not maximum
 - C: could be maximum but not minimum
 - D: could be neither
- In the beginning, every element in A
- At the end, one in B, one in C, everybody else in D

• Case 1: $x \in A, y \in A$

A: poss. both B: poss. min C: poss. max D: neither

$x < y \implies x \in B, y \in C$

$x > y \implies x \in C, y \in B$

Two moves

• Case 2: $x \in A, y \in B$

 $x < y \implies x \in B, y \in D$

A: poss. both B: poss. min C: poss. max D: neither

Two moves, but can always rearrange

$x > y \implies x \in C, y \in B$

One move

• Case 3: $x \in A, y \in C$

A: poss. both B: poss. min C: poss. max D: neither

$x < y \implies x \in B, y \in C$

$x > y \implies x \in C, y \in D$

Two moves if we are lucky, but we w

• Case 4: $x \in A, y \in D$

 $x < y \implies x \in B, y \in D$

A: poss. both B: poss. min C: poss. max D: neither

$x > y \implies x \in C, y \in D$

One move

• Case 5: $x \in B, y \in B$

 $x < y \implies x \in B, y \in D$

A: poss. both B: poss. min C: poss. max D: neither

$x > y \implies x \in D, y \in B$

One move

• Case 6: $x \in B, y \in C$

A: poss. both B: poss. min C: poss. max D: neither

$$x < y \implies x \in B, y \in C$$

Two moves, at best, but I can cook happen

 $x > y \implies x \in D, y \in D$

• Case 7: $x \in B, y \in D$

A: poss. both B: poss. min C: poss. max D: neither

$$x < y \implies x \in B, y \in D$$

 $x > y \implies x \in D, y \in D$

• Case 9: $x \in C, y \in D$

A: poss. both B: poss. min C: poss. max D: neither

 $x < y \implies x \in D, y \in D$

 $x > y \implies x \in C, y \in D$

• Case 10: $x \in D, y \in D$

A: poss. both B: poss. min C: poss. max D: neither

$$x < y \implies x \in D, y \in D$$

 $x > y \implies x \in D, y \in D$

- Start out with *n* elements in *A*
 - Best moves involve two elements in A
 - Can be done up to *n*/2 times
 - Then need to move *n*-2 elements from *B* and *C* to *D*
 - Can always reshuffle the elements that it needs another n-2 comparisons

• Total is
$$\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + n - 2$$
 comparisons

Algorithm

- Proof shows how it should be done
 - Make *n*/2 comparisons of virgin elements
 - Then determine the minimum among the losers and the maximum among the winners

Implementation

```
def min_max(lista):
if len(lista)%2:
min=lista[0]
max=lista[0]
for i in range(1, len(lista)//2):
    if lista[2*i] < lista[2*i+1]:
        loser, winner = lista[2*i], lista[2*i+1]
    else:
        loser, winner = lista[2*i+1], lista[2*i]
    if loser<min: min = loser
    if winner>max: max = winner
    return min, max
```

$$\frac{n-1}{2} \cdot 3 = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor * 3$$

Implementation

```
else:
if lista[0]<lista[1]:
    min, max = lista[0], lista[1]
else:
    max, min = lista[1], lista[0]
for i in range(1,len(lista)//2):
    if lista[2*i]<lista[2*i+1]:
        looser, winner = lista[2*i], lista[2*i+1]
    else:
        looser, winner = lista[2*i+1], lista[2*i]
    if min>looser: min=looser
    if max>winner: max=winner
    return min, max
```

$$1 + (\frac{n-2}{2}) \cdot 3$$

Evaluation



- Return the *i*th largest element in the array
 - Quicksort like algorithm:
 - Select a random pivot
 - Divide the array in two sub-arrays
 - One with elements larger
 - One with elements smaller than pivot





n-1 comparisons

- Now process one of the two sub-arrays in order to find the *i*-th largest element
 - On average, the sub-array is of size $\lfloor \frac{\pi}{2} \rfloor$

- Now process one of the two sub-arrays in order to find the *i*-th largest element
 - On average, the sub-array is of size $\lfloor \frac{\pi}{2} \rfloor$
 - Recursion formula is "intuitively"

$$C(n) = n - 1 + C(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$$

• "Solution"

$$C(n) \le n + C(n/2) \le n + \frac{n}{2} + C(n/4) \le n(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots) \le 2n$$

- Next time:
 - How to make this argument exact